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Direct Visualization of Deforming Atomic Wavefunction in Ultraintense High-Frequency Laser Pulses
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Interaction of intense laser fields with atoms distorts the bound-state electron cloud. Tracing the temporal response of the electron cloud to the laser field is of fundamental importance for understanding the ultrafast dynamics of various nonlinear phenomena of matter, but it is particularly challenging. Here, we show that the ultrafast response of the atomic electron cloud to the intense high-frequency laser pulses can be probed with the attosecond time-resolved photoelectron holography. In this method, an infrared laser pulse is employed to trigger tunneling ionization of the deforming atom. The shape of the deforming electron cloud is encoded in the hologram of the photoelectron momentum distribution. As a demonstration, by solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, we show that the adiabatic deforming of the bound-state electron cloud, as well as the nonadiabatic transition among the distorted states, is successfully tracked with attosecond resolution. Our work films the formation process of the metastable Kramers-Henneberger (KH) states in the intense high-frequency laser pulses. This establishes a novel approach for time-resolved imaging of the ultrafast bound-state electron processes in intense laser fields.

1. Introduction

Laser-induced distortion of the electron cloud of atoms and molecules is the intrinsic reason for various nonlinear phenomena of matter [1, 2]. Probing the laser-induced dynamics of the bound electron is of essential importance for understanding the temporal properties of nonlinear processes of matter. With the advanced attosecond techniques [3], various laser-induced electron dynamics, such as the valence electron motion [4], the subcycle AC-stark shift [5], the impulsive response of the bound electron [6], the ultrafast charge migration [7], etc., have been probed with attosecond accuracy. Here, we reveal another interesting ultrafast bound-state electron process of atoms induced by the ultraintense high-frequency laser fields. Previous theoretical studies have shown that when an atom is exposed to an ultraintense high-frequency laser field, the electronic wavefunction is stretched and separated into two parts [8]. The distortion of the wavefunction forms the so-called metastable Kramers-Henneberger (KH) states [9–11], leading to the stabilization against ionization in ultraintense high-frequency laser fields [12], which is one of the most intriguing phenomena in laser-matter interaction. The KH states also play an important role in accelerating neutral atoms [13] and amplifying air lasing [14, 15]. Although the atomic stabilization and the KH states have attracted extensive theoretical studies thirty years ago [16–22], direct observation of this distorted wavefunction has not been reported. It has been proposed that the electronic structure of the KH states can be deduced from the photoelectron momentum distribution (PEMD) [23]. Recently, it has been shown that the dichotomy of the wavefunction of the KH state would exhibit the double-slit interference pattern in the PEMDs [24], which serves as solid evidence of the existence of the KH states. In these studies, a monochromatic field with constant intensity is considered, wherein the atoms stay in a static KH state. However, in the realistic pulsed fields, the atomic wavefunction evolves from the field-free ground state...
to the KH states following the envelope of the laser pulses [22, 25]. Moreover, for a laser pulse with rapid turning on, nonadiabatic transition occurs and then the polychotomy, instead of the dichotomy in the wavefunction, is formed [18, 20]. This turning-on effect plays as the key role in determining the degree of atomic stabilization in the ultraintense laser pulses [18–22, 26, 27]. It is also responsible for the low-energy electron generation in the intense high-frequency laser fields [28–31]. Therefore, observing the evolution of the wavefunction is more appealing. However, this evolution has not yet been observed.

Here, we demonstrate a method based on strong-field photoelectron holography (SFPH) to probe the deforming process of the bound-state electronic wavefunction of hydrogen exposed to the ultraintense XUV laser pulses. The concept of SFPH has been proposed about ten years ago [32]. It originates from the interference of the photoelectrons flying directly to the detector after tunneling ionization and those undergoing a near-forward rescattering [32–34]. The holographic pattern has been experimentally observed in strong-field tunneling ionization in different species of atoms and molecules [35–41] and has attracted extensive theoretical attentions [34, 42–50]. In this work, we employ an infrared (IR) laser pulse to induce tunneling ionization of the atom exposed to the ultraintense XUV laser pulses. The holographic patterns in the PEMDs of tunneling ionization encode dynamic information about the deforming process of the electron cloud induced by the XUV pulses. By numerically solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE), we demonstrate that the adiabatic evolution of the electronic wavefunction with the envelope of the XUV laser pulses can be directly tracked with the SFPH. For the pulse with a more rapid ramp, the nonadiabatic transition among the KH states occurs, which is also unambiguously revealed in the hologram of the PEMDs.

The scheme of our method is illustrated in Figure 1. The distortion of the atom electron cloud by the ultraintense XUV pulses could be intuitively understood in the KH frame [51], in which the time-averaged potential has a double-well structure with the two wells locating at \( \pm x_0 = \pm E_0/\omega^2 \), where \( E_0 \) and \( \omega \) are the strength and frequency of the field, respectively. For a laser pulse with slowly varying envelope \( f(t) \), \( \alpha_0 \) should be written as [25, 52] \( \alpha_0(t) = f(t)E_0/\omega^2 \). In this case, the electron cloud evolves adiabatically following the varying double-well potential. So, it is stretched along the laser polarization direction during the rising edge of the laser pulse and then recovers to the atomic wavefunction when the laser field is falling off (see Supplement, Section 1), as shown in Figure 1(a). To detect the temporal evolution of the electron cloud, an IR pulse with moderate intensity is applied to induce tunneling ionization of the deforming atom. The interference of the direct and the rescattering electron wave packets (EWPs) forms the hologram in the PEMDs. It can be considered as the two-center interference, wherein the centers of the direct and the rescattering EWPs are the tunneling point and the rescattering center, respectively [Figure 1(c)]. The tunneling point depends on the structure of the electron cloud at the instant of tunneling [the red dot in Figure 1(b)]. So, by retrieving the tunneling point from the hologram in the PEMDs, the structure of the electron cloud and its temporal evolution in the ultraintense XUV pulse is directly tracked.

2. Materials and Methods

To demonstrate our scheme, we solve the three-dimensional TDSE of H in the laboratory frame to obtain the PEMDs (in atomic units) (see Supplement, Section 2),

\[
i \frac{\partial \Psi(r,t)}{\partial t} = \left( \frac{1}{2} |p - A(t)|^2 - \frac{1}{r} \right) \Psi(r,t).
\] (1)

Here, \( A(t) = A_{IR}(t + \tau) + A_{XUV}(t) \) describes the combined vector potential of the XUV and the IR fields. \( \tau \) is the time delay between the two fields. The IR field \( A_{IR}(t + \tau) = A_{IR}(t + \tau)e_z \) is linearly polarized along z-axis and its envelope has the cos-squared shape lasting three optical cycles [Figure 2(a)]. The XUV pulses \( A_{XUV}(t) = A_{XUV}(t) \cos \theta_{ez} + \sin \theta_{ez} \) has the Gaussian-shape envelope and it is polarized with an angle \( \theta \) with the IR field. Figures 2(b) and 2(c) show the obtained PEMDs in the \( (p_x, p_y) \) plane (i.e., \( p_z = 0 \)). The polarization direction of the XUV field are \( \theta = 0^\circ \) and \( \theta = 45^\circ \), respectively. The frequency of the XUV pulse is \( \omega_{XUV} = 3 \text{ a.u.} \) and its intensity is \( 3 \times 10^{19} \text{ W/cm}^2 \) with the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 10 cycles (\( \sim 0.5 \text{ fs} \)). The wavelength and intensity of the IR field are \( 2400 \text{ nm and } 1 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2 \), respectively. (Note that the nondipole effect is significant in the PEMDs for the laser parameters in our calculations. It distorts the PEMDs in the laser propagation direction. However, the PEMD in the plane perpendicular to the laser propagation direction is not affected by the nondipole effect (see Supplement 1, Section 2.3).) The time delay between the two fields is adjusted so that the photoelectron tunneling ionized during the time window where the XUV pulse locates could be driven back to the parent ion to form the hologram in the PEMDs. In our calculations, \( \tau = 0.67 \text{ fs} \). We mention that we have also calculated the PEMD by the IR field alone (see Supplement, Figure S2c). The obtained signal is orders of magnitude lower than that in Figure 2. This is because the ultraintense XUV pulse greatly lowers the ionization potential of H (see Supplement, Figure S1d). Thus, the tunneling ionization rate during the quarter cycle where the IR and the XUV pulses overlap is much higher than that of the IR field alone. The XUV field also induces ionization through single- and few-photon absorption, but the signal in the PEMD is separated from the distribution of tunneling ionization by the IR field (see Supplement, Figure S2). Therefore, the signals in the PEMDs of Figures 2(b) and 2(c) are dominated by IR field induced tunneling ionization during the quarter cycle where the XUV pulse locates [the shading area in Figure 2(a)].

3. Results and Discussion

The horizontal holographic fringes in the PEMDs in Figures 2(b) and 2(c) are clearly seen. For \( \theta = 0^\circ \), the fringes are exactly symmetric about \( p_x = 0 \). While for \( \theta = 45^\circ \), the
interference fringes are distorted. To see this feature more clearly, two cuts from the PEMDs at $p_z = -0.6$ and $-1.4$ a.u. are shown in Figures 2(d) and 2(e). Obviously, the interference minima (maxima) for $\theta = 45^\circ$ are shifted with respect to the result at $\theta = 0^\circ$. In the following, we will show that the evolution of the deforming wavefunction is encoded in this interference fringes shift.

The holographic fringes are determined by the phase difference between the direct and near-forward rescattering EWP. With the adiabatic theory [53], the phase difference can be written as [34] (see Supplement, Section 3)

$$\Delta \phi\left(p_y ; p_z\right) = \frac{1}{2} p_y^2 (t_r - t_i) + \sigma + \left[ \phi_i(0 ; t_i) - \phi_i(p_y ; t_i) \right],$$

(2)

where $t_i$ and $t_r$ are the ionization time and rescattering time, respectively. The first term of Equation 2 accounts for the phase difference of the direct and rescattering electrons accumulated during the propagating from tunneling ionization to rescattering [32, 33]. The second term is the phase of the scattering amplitude [34] and for atoms, it is symmetric about the laser polarization direction. In the third term, $\phi_i(p_y ; t_i) = \text{arg}\left(A\left(p_y ; t_i\right)\right)$ is the phase of the transverse momentum distribution amplitude (TMDA) $A\left(p_y ; t_i\right)$ of the tunneling ionization [53, 54]. It accounts for the initial phase difference of the rescattering and the direct electrons. For atoms, $\phi_i(p_y ; t_i)$ is approximately a constant and thus the third term in Equation (2) is absent [34].

When the atom is stretched by the intense XUV field along its polarization direction, there will be a nonzero initial transverse displacement for the tunneling EWP if the angle between the polarization directions of the IR and XUV fields is nonzero, as shown in Figure 1(b). Then, the TMDA has a linear phase distribution. The reason is as follows. The PEMD from tunneling can be approximately considered as the Fourier transform of the tunneling EWP in position space [55, 56]. According to the delay theorem of Fourier transformation, a shift of position of the EWP corresponds to a linear phase in the momentum distribution, $\mathcal{F}[\Psi(y - y_0)] = e^{-i y_0 \phi} \mathcal{F}[\Psi(y)]$. Therefore, the phase of the TMDA linearly depends on the initial transverse momentum of tunneling [49, 57, 58]

$$\phi_i(p_y ; t_i) = -y_0 p_y,$$

(3)

where $y_0$ is the initial transverse displacement of the tunneling EWP. Then, the third term of Equation (2) becomes $\phi_i(0 ; t_i) - \phi_i(p_y ; t_i) = y_0 p_y$. So, by extracting this phase from the hologram in the PEMD, we could retrieve the initial transverse
displacement \( y_0 \) of the tunneling EWP. This displacement is closely related to the structure of the stretched wavefunction. Therefore, by monitoring the initial transverse displacement of the tunneling EWP with SFPH, the structure of the deforming atomic wavefunction can be traced.

The relation between the initial transverse displacement \( y_0 \) and the structure of the electronic wavefunction is illustrated in Figures 3(a)–3(c), where we display three examples of the electron density distribution of the stretched atom. In our case, the longitudinal tunneling position is of about 4–6 a.u. So, we take the cut of the electron density distribution at \( z \approx 4 \) a.u. (see Supplement, Figure S5), shown in the right side. In tunneling ionization, the tunneling EWP connects the bound electron wavefunction smoothly, and thus the transverse displacement of the tunneling EWP equals the position \( y_m \) of the maximum of the electron density distribution in the cut around the longitudinal tunneling exit, \( y_0 = y_m \). As the atomic wavefunction is stretched longer by the XUV field along its polarization direction, the position \( y_m \) increases, and the transverse displacement \( y_0 \) increases accordingly. Thus, the quantity \( y_0 \) directly reveals how long the atomic wavefunction is stretched at the instant of tunneling ionization.

To retrieve the displacement \( y_0 \), we extract the phase \( \Delta \phi(\rho_x; \rho_z) \) from the hologram of Figure 2(c) (see Supplement, Section 4). In practice, we separately extract the phase for \( \rho_x > 0 \) and \( \rho_x < 0 \), denoted as \( \Delta \phi_+(\rho_x; \rho_z) \) and \( \Delta \phi_-(\rho_x; \rho_z) \).
The solid curves in the right side show the cuts of the wavefunction at $p_z$ with the slope of 2. The circles indicate the transverse displacement $y$ of the wavefunction at the tunneling exit. The agreement is remarkable.

Equations (2) and (3), we have

$$\Delta \varphi \left( p_x ; p_z \right) - \Delta \varphi \left( p_x ; p_z \right) = 2y_0(t_f)\left| p_x \right|.$$  

(4)

Equation (4) indicates that the phase difference $\Delta \varphi \left( p_x ; p_z \right) - \Delta \varphi \left( p_x ; p_z \right)$ is a linear function of the momentum $p_x$, with the slope of $2y_0$. Several examples shown in Figure 3(d) indicate that the obtained phase indeed depends linearly on $p_x$. By fitting this phase with a linear function, the displacement $y_0$ (half of the obtained slope) is obtained. We repeat this procedure at each $p_z$ in the range $p_z \in [-1.9,-0.4]$ a.u. and transfer the momentum $p_z$ to time $p_z = -A_{RB}(r + t_i)$. The displacement $y_0$ as a function of time is obtained, displayed in Figure 3(e). It shows that the transverse displacement $y_0$ increases at the rising edge of the XUV pulse and decreases during the falling edge. This reveals the stretching and restoring of atomic wavefunction with the envelope of the XUV pulse. To check the accuracy of our method, we trace the atomic wavefunction in our calculations, and trace the transverse location $y_0$ for the maximum of the electron density near the longitudinal exit point (see Supplement, Section 5). The result is displayed as the solid curve in Figure 3(e). The agreement is remarkable.

It indicates that the ultrafast deforming of electron wavefunction by the intense XUV pulse is successfully revealed with attosecond resolution by SFPH.

As the duration of the XUV pulse decreases, nonadiabatic transition due to the fast changing envelope becomes significant. Figure 4(a) shows the PEMD from tunneling ionization of the deforming H in a shorter XUV pulse. An intriguing bifurcation structure appears in the PEMDs at $p_x\left| p_x \right| = (-1.65,0.33)$ a.u.. It is more clearly seen in Figure 4(b) where the interference term $\cos \Delta \varphi \left( p_x ; p_z \right)$ extracted from the PEMD is shown. This bifurcation structure is due to the nonadiabatic transition in the ultraintense XUV pulses. For the laser parameters in our calculations, nonadiabatic transition results in the electron mainly staying on the $1s$ and $2s$ states (see Supplement, Figures S5 and S6), i.e., $\Psi(t) = c_1(t)1s_{g} + c_2(t)2s_{g}e^{\delta(t)}$, where $\delta(t)$ is the phase difference between these two states. Then, the TMDA for tunneling ionization at time $t_i$ from this superposition is written as $\mathcal{A}(p_x ; t_i) = c_1(t_i)\mathcal{A}_{1s}\left( p_x \right) + c_2(t_i)\mathcal{A}_{2s}\left( p_x \right)e^{\delta(t_i)}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{1s}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2s}$ are, respectively, the TMDA of the $1s_{g}$ and $2s_{g}$ states, which can be calculated with the method of partial Fourier transformation [56] (see Supplement, Section 6). When $\delta(t_i) = n\pi$ (n is an integer), there is a $\pi$ phase jump in the TMDA.
Figure 4: (a) PEMD from the tunneling ionization of H atom in the XUV-IR pulse. The FWHM of the XUV pulse is half of that in Figure 2 (5 XUV cycles), and θ = 90°. The other laser parameters are the same as those in Figure 2. Note that bifurcation structure marked by the dashed box also exit for θ = 0° and 45°. (b) The extracted interference term of the hologram \( \cos \Delta \phi \) for \( p_y > 0 \). (c) The modulus (solid line) and phase (dashed line) of the TMDA for the superposition state. (d) The calculated time-dependent phase difference between the 1σ\(_g\) and 2σ\(_g\) states (see Supplement, Section 6). The blue dot indicates the \( \pi \) phase difference at the instant of tunneling ionization (\( t_i = 5.7 \) a.u.) revealed by hologram in (b).

(see Supplement, Figure S7), as shown in Figure 4(c). This phase jump results in the bifurcation structure in the hologram. So, the presence of the bifurcation reveals the nonadiabatic transition in the intense XUV pulse, and the location of the bifurcation indicates the instant when the phase difference between the two occupied states is \( \pi \). In Figure 4(b), the location of \( p_z = -1.65 \) a.u. corresponds to the ionization time of \( t_i = 5.7 \) a.u. [obtained through \( p_z = -A_{\text{IR}} (t_i + \tau) \)]. So, the hologram in the PEMD indicates that the phase difference between the 1σ\(_g\) and 2σ\(_g\) states at the instant of 5.7 a.u. is \( \pi \). To check the validity of this result, we calculate the phase difference \( \delta(t) \) with the time-dependent Floquet Hamiltonian approach [25, 30] (see Supplement, Section 6), as shown in Figure 4(d). The phase difference is indeed close to \( \pi \) at the instant of 5.7 a.u. So, the nonadiabatic transition and the phase difference between the occupied states are successfully revealed with our method.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, we filmed the ultrafast evolution of the atomic electron cloud in the ultraintense XUV pulses with SFPH. The distortion of the electron cloud by the XUV fields induces characteristic phase structures in the TMDA of the tunneling EWPs. By measuring the phase structures with SFPH, the adiabatic evolution of the metastable KH states is accurately tracked, and the nonadiabatic transition among the KH states in the short XUV pulse is also successfully revealed. Our work not only confirms the existence of the KH states but more importantly reveals the ultrafast dynamics of the formation process of the KH states in the ultraintense XUV pulses. It deepens our understanding of the ultrafast response of bound electron exposed to the intense laser pulses. The dynamic information of bound-state electron is usually delivered to the phase of photoelectrons. So, measuring the photoelectron phase should be an efficient avenue in imaging the attosecond bound electron dynamics [59]. Our work demonstrated a way to track the ultrafast bound-state electron dynamics in atoms by measuring the photoelectron phase with the SFPH. Extension of this method to more complex molecules, and even nanostructures and solids is promising, and it will be a more exciting aspect in the attosecond science.
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